system components, not messages sent by users of the system of Diamant to other uses of that system, as required by Applicant's claims. See in that regard col. 17, lines 12-col. 1 19, line 10 of Diamant. As for claim 194, the issue is not whether documents are available via hyperlinks, but rather whether an entity in a model representation can be used to access additional related information, and as indicated above, there is no disclosure in Heindel of model entities with this characteristic.

Conclusion

5

10

15

Applicants are filing an RCE together with the present submission, which is a traversal of Examiner's final rejection mailed 5/27/04. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw the finality of the Office action of 5/27/04 as provided in 37 C.F.R 1.114(d) and consider the present submission. The present submission is completely responsive to Examiner's rejections in the Office action of 5/27/04 and therefore satisfies the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111(b). Applicant consequently respectfully requests that Examiner proceed with the examination as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.111(a) and allow the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney of record,
Gordon E. Nelson
57 Central St., P.O. Box 782
Rowley, MA, 01969,
Registration number 30,093
Voice: (978) 948-7632
Fax: 1-866-723-0359
August 27, 2004
Date

30 Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Washington, D.C. 20231

35 on <u>August 27, 2004</u> (Date)

Gordon-E. Nelson, #30,093

Lowery & Weld

40 (Signature)